# **EXHIBIT A**

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 2 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

ALFRED VALDEZ; STANLEY VALENTINE; CLAUDE VAN GURP; PAUL VAUGHN; RICARDO CLEMENTE VELA; KENNETH MAX VOWELS JR.; THOMAS R. WAGNER; LARRY WALKER; STANLEY WALKER; STEPHEN WALTERS; JASON WEBB; TIM WEICK; ROBERT WEST; JOHN WESTER; ALISHA WHITE; WILLIE WHITING; ANTONIO WIGGINS; REBEKAH WILCOX; DANNY WILLIAMS; LONNIE WILLIAMS; TASHICA WILLIAMS; JERRY W. WILLIS; ARTHUR WILSON JR.; DAVID WITTMAN; SANDRA WOLFSWINKEL; STAN WOLKEN; BRUCE WOODS; JEFF WOODS; JONATHAN JOHN WOODSON; HARRY M. WRIGHT; BRIAN WROTEN; TROY YODER; JOHN YOUNG; PETER T. YOUNG; AND RODNEY ZIMPELMAN,

Plaintiff,

-against -

THE 3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC., AMEREX CORPORATION, ARKEMA INC., ARCHROMA U.S. INC., BASF CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to Ciba Inc., BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY, CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION, CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC., CHEMGUARD INC. CHEMICALS, INC., CLARIANT CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to Sandoz Chemical Corporation, CORTEVA, INC., individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC., DUPONT DE NEMOURS INC., individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, DYNAX CORPORATION, E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, KIDDE-FENWAL, INC., individually and as successor in interest to Kidde Fire Fighting, Inc., NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY, NATIONAL FOAM, INC., THE CHEMOURS

Index No. \_\_\_\_\_/2021

#### **SUMMONS**

Venue is designated pursuant to CPLR § 503(a) & (c) in that NEW YORK in this county.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 3 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

COMPANY, individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC, individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, and TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS, LP, individually and as successor in interest to The Ansul Company, and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20, fictitious names whose present identities are unknown,

Defendants	•
	X

To the above-named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your Answer, or, if the Complaint is not served with this Summons, to serve a Notice of Appearance on the Plaintiffs' attorneys within twenty (20) days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service, where service is made by delivery upon you personally within the state, or, within thirty (30) days after completion of service where service is made in any other manner. In case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

Dated: New York, New York October 26, 2021

Napoli Shkolnik, PLLC *Attorneys for Plaintiff* 

/s/ Patrick J. Lanciotti
Patrick J. Lanciotti, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10017
212-397-1000
PLanciotti@napolilaw.com

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 4 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

To:

3M COMPANY c/o Corporation Service Company 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, New Castle, DE 19808

### AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### AMEREX CORPORATION

c/o James M. Proctor II 2900 Highway 280 Suite 300 Birmingham, AL 35223

#### ARCHROMA U.S. INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### ARKEMA INC.

900 First Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

#### **BASF CORPORATION**

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### **BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY**

c/o A Haon Corporate Agent, Inc. 29225 Chagrin Blvd, Suite 350 Pepper Pike, OH 44122 CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 5 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

#### CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC.

c/o Corporation Service Company 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, New Castle, DE, 19808

#### CHEMGUARD INC.

c/o The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc. 251 Little Falls Drive Wilmington, New Castle, DE, 19808

CHEMICALS, INC. c/o Ashok K. Moza

12321 Hatcherville

Baytown, TX 77520

#### **CLARIANT CORPORATION**

c/o Corporation Service Company 8040 Excelsior Drive, Suite 400 Madison, WI 53717

#### CORTEVA, INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 6 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

#### DUPONT DE NEMOURS INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### DYNAX CORPORATION

c/o Corporate Systems LLC 3500 S. Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901

#### E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### KIDDE-FENWAL, INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY

c/o John A. Dickson, IV 2300 Bank Street Fort Mill, SC 29715

#### NATIONAL FOAM, INC.

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

#### THE CHEMOURS COMPANY

c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 7 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS LP c/o The Corporation Trust Company Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 8 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK COUNTY

ALFRED VALDEZ; STANLEY VALENTINE; CLAUDE VAN GURP; PAUL VAUGHN; RICARDO CLEMENTE VELA; KENNETH MAX VOWELS JR.; THOMAS R. WAGNER; LARRY WALKER; STANLEY WALKER; STEPHEN WALTERS; JASON WEBB; TIM WEICK; ROBERT WEST; JOHN WESTER; ALISHA WHITE; WILLIE WHITING; ANTONIO WIGGINS; REBEKAH WILCOX; DANNY WILLIAMS; LONNIE WILLIAMS; TASHICA WILLIAMS; JERRY W. WILLIS; ARTHUR WILSON JR.; DAVID WITTMAN; SANDRA WOLFSWINKEL; STAN WOLKEN; BRUCE WOODS; JEFF WOODS; JONATHAN JOHN WOODSON; HARRY M. WRIGHT; BRIAN WROTEN; TROY YODER; JOHN YOUNG; PETER T. YOUNG; AND RODNEY ZIMPELMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-vs -

THE 3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC., AMEREX CORPORATION, ARKEMA INC., ARCHROMA MANAGEMENT LLC, BASF CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to Ciba Inc., BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY, CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION, CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC., CHEMGUARD INC. CHEMICALS, INC., CLARIANT CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to Sandoz Chemical Corporation, CORTEVA, INC., individually and as successor in interest to **DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, DEEPWATER** CHEMICALS, INC., DUPONT DE NEMOURS INC., individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, DYNAX CORPORATION, E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, KIDDE-FENWAL, INC., individually and as successor in interest to Kidde Fire Fighting, Inc., NATION

Index No. \_\_\_\_\_/2021

## COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Trial by jury is desired in the County of New York

Venue is designated pursuant to CPLR § 503(a) & (c) in that the causes of action occurred in this county.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 9 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY, NATIONAL FOAM, INC., THE CHEMOURS COMPANY, individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC, individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise, and TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS, LP, individually and as successor in interest to The Ansul Company, and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20, fictitious names whose present identities are unknown,

Defendants.

#### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs ALFRED VALDEZ; STANLEY VALENTINE; CLAUDE VAN GURP; PAUL VAUGHN; RICARDO CLEMENTE VELA; KENNETH MAX VOWELS JR.; THOMAS R. WAGNER; LARRY WALKER; STANLEY WALKER; STEPHEN WALTERS; JASON WEBB; TIM WEICK; ROBERT WEST; JOHN WESTER; ALISHA WHITE; WILLIE WHITING; ANTONIO WIGGINS; REBEKAH WILCOX; DANNY WILLIAMS; LONNIE WILLIAMS; TASHICA WILLIAMS; JERRY W. WILLIS; ARTHUR WILSON JR.; DAVID WITTMAN; SANDRA WOLFSWINKEL; STAN WOLKEN; BRUCE WOODS; JEFF WOODS; JONATHAN JOHN WOODSON; HARRY M. WRIGHT; BRIAN WROTEN; TROY YODER; JOHN YOUNG; PETER T. YOUNG; AND RODNEY ZIMPELMAN, ("Plaintiffs"), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against Defendants, 3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC., AMEREX CORPORATION, ARKEMA INC., ARCHROMA MANAGEMENT LLC, BASF CORPORATION, BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY, CARRIER GLOBAL CORPORATION, CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC., CHEMGUARD INC., CHEMICALS, INC., CLARIANT CORPORATION, CORTEVA, INC., DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC.,

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 10 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

DUPONT DE NEMOURS INC., DYNAX CORPORATION, E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, KIDDE-FENWAL, INC., NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY, NATIONAL FOAM, INC., THE CHEMOURS COMPANY, THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC, and TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS, LP, and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20, fictitious names whose present identifies are unknown (collectively "Defendants") and alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This action arises from the foreseeable contamination of groundwater by the use of aqueous film-forming foam ("AFFF") products that contained per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances ("PFAS"), including perfluorooctane sulfonate ("PFOS") and perfluorooctanoic acid ("PFOA").
- 2. PFOS and PFOA are fluorosurfactants that repel oil, grease, and water. PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, are or were components of AFFF products, which are firefighting suppressant agents used in training and firefighting activities for fighting Class B fires. Class B fires include fires involving hydrocarbon fuels such as petroleum or other flammable liquids.
- 3. PFOS and PFOA are mobile, persist indefinitely in the environment, bioaccumulate in individual organisms and humans, and biomagnify up the food chain. PFOS and PFOA are also associated with multiple and significant adverse health effects in humans, including but not limited to kidney cancer, testicular cancer, high cholesterol, thyroid disease, ulcerative colitis, and pregnancy-induced hypertension.
- 4. At various times from the 1960s through today, Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, and/or designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 11 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

sold the fluorosurfactants and/or perfluorinated chemicals ("PFCs") contained in AFFF (collectively, "AFFF/Component Products").

- 5. Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold AFFF/Component Products with the knowledge that these toxic compounds would be released into the environment during fire protection, training, and response activities, even when used as directed and intended by Defendants.
- 6. Since its creation in the 1960s, AFFF designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants, and/or that contained fluorosurfactants and/or PFCs designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants, used as directed and intended by Defendants, and subsequently released into the environment during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination.
- 7. Due to this contamination, Plaintiffs have suffered real personal injuries, bioaccumulation of PFAS in their bodies, property damage and the diminution in value of their properties as a result of the release of PFAS to their water supplies.
- 8. Plaintiffs have suffered an assortment of diseases and medical conditions as a direct result of their exposure to the PFAS contamination of their water supply.
- 9. Plaintiffs, as residents and those who visited, worked, or otherwise dwelled in the Site area, have been unknowingly exposed for many years to PFAS, including at concentrations hazardous to their health.
- 10. Plaintiffs' unwitting exposure to PFAS in their water supply as a result of the Defendants' conduct, is the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 11. Plaintiffs' property has been damaged as a result of the presence of the PFAS in their water supply.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 12 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

12. Plaintiffs seek recovery from Defendants for injuries, damages, and losses suffered by the Plaintiffs as a result of exposure to the introduction of PFAS and other toxic substance into their water supply, and then into their properties and bodies, in an amount to be determined at trial, exclusive of interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (a) because the parties are diverse and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
- 14. This Court has jurisdiction because Defendant Dynax Corporation's principal place of business is located at 103 Fairview Park Drive, Elmsford, New York 10523.
- 15. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because the events, omissions and harms that are the basis of Plaintiffs claims occurred in substantial party in this District.
- 16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants by virtue of each Defendants' regular and systematic contacts with New York, including, among other things, purposefully marketing, selling and/or distributing their AFFF/Component Products to and within New York, and because they have the requisite minimum contacts with New York necessary to constitutionally permit the Court to exercise jurisdiction over them consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

#### **PARTIES**

#### A. Plaintiff

17. Plaintiff Alfred Valdez resides at 600 E. Weddell Drive 56, Sunnyvale, CA 94089. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Camp Hanson ?904-1200 Okinawa, Kunigami District, Okinawa --; Camp Pendleton 200 Mercy Cir Oceanside CA; Camp Pendleton San Diego CA; Fatima -- Okinawa --; Fort Sherman; Marine Corps Air Station Miramar Miramar Way San

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 13 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

Diego CA; Marine Corps Air Station Tustin 72-7 Tustin Ranch Rd Irvine CA; Marine Corps Recruit Depot San Diego 38990 Midway Ave San Diego CA; MCAS El Toro Unnamed Road Irvine CA; MCRD San Diego San Diego CA; NAS Millington 7698 Hornet Ave Millington TN; USS Pelalu; USS Tripoli (hereinafter the "Site") from 1980 to 1996 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Alfred Valdez's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms, Heartburn, Hypertension, Prostate Cancer, Tinnitus.

- 18. Plaintiff Stanley Valentine resides at 1238 E 112 St., Cleveland, OH 44108. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Fort Campbell 2601 Indiana Ave Fort Campbell KY; Fort Dix 2270 Fort Dix Rd JB MDL NJ; Fort Hood T.J. Mills Boulevard Building #121, 761st Tank Battalion Ave Killeen TX; Fort Huachuca -- Sierra Vista AZ; Fort Lewis 2140 Liggett Ave JB Lewis-McChord WA; Parris Island -- Paris Island NC (hereinafter the "Site") from 1974 to 1980 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Stanley Valentine's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Cancer, Stomach Cancer.
- 19. Plaintiff Claude Van Gurp resides at 5753 Highway 85 North, #5873, Crestview, FL 32536. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at 2144 Clement Ave NOSC Alameda Alameda CA;

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 14 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

Alameda Naval Base 2144 Clement Ave Alameda CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1985 to 1987 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Claude Van Gurp's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Ulcerative Colitis.

- 20. Plaintiff Paul Vaughn resides at 43022 N Billville Road, Hammond, LA 70403. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Great Lakes Naval Station 2601E Paul Jones St Great Lakes IL; Gulf Port 4902 Marvin Shields Blvd Gulfport MS; Naval Port Hueneme 4363 Missile Way Port Hueneme CA; Skaggs Island 29000 Skaggs Island Rd Skaggs Island CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1972 to 1980 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Paul Vaughn's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Thyroid Disease.
- 21. Plaintiff Ricardo Clemente Vela resides at 1916 Seagull Ln, Mission, TX 78572. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Charleston NWS Charleston NC; Great Lakes Great Lakes IL; San Diego NS San Diego CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 2000 to 2008 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 15 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Ricardo Clemente Vela's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Thyroid Disease.

- 22. Plaintiff Kenneth Max Vowels Jr. resides at 1114 Rineyville School Rd., Rineyville, KY 40162. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Long Beach Naval Base CA; Long Beach Naval Station Long Beach Naval Station Long Beach CA; Orlando Naval Base 12350 Research Pkwy Orlando FL (hereinafter the "Site") from 1991 to 1993 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Kenneth Max Vowels Jr.'s exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Ulcerative Colitis.
- 23. Plaintiff Thomas R. Wagner resides at 12911 Point Pleasant Dr, Fairfax, VA 22033. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Conneticut; Great Lakes Great Lakes IL; Norfolk Submarine Norfolk VA; San Diego San Diego CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1984 to 1990 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Thomas R. Wagner's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Blood Pressure, Leukemia, Thyroid Disease.
- 24. Plaintiff Larry Walker resides at 301 Young America Drive, Douthan, AL 36303. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Fort Ord Toro Creek Rd Marina CA; Fort Riley 885 Henry

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 16 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

Drive Fort Riley KS (hereinafter the "Site") from 1978 to 1979 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Larry Walker's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Kidney Cancer.

- 25. Plaintiff Stanley Walker resides at 12508 Franklin D Roosevelt, Manor, TX 78653. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at 3730 N Charles Porter Ave NAS Whidbey Oak Harbor WA; Alameda Blvd NAS San Diego Coronado CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1974 to 1977 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Stanley Walker's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Thyroid Disease.
- 26. Plaintiff Stephen Walters resides at 1627 Highway D, Selex, MO 63377. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Naval Training Center San Diego CA; Norfolk Norfolk VA; Shipyard Portsmouth VA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1991 to 1994 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Stephen Walters's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Renal Cell Carcinoma.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 17 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 27. Plaintiff Jason Webb resides at 1748 W. Cherry Ave, Visalia, CA 93277. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Al Asad Air Base; Alameda Naval Base 2144 Clement Ave Alameda CA; Camp Hanson 904-1200 Okinawa, Kunigami District, Okinawa --; Camp Pendleton Building #13150 Camp Pendleton CA; Camp Umatilla National Guard -- Hermiston OR; El Paso MEPS 6380 Morgan Ave El Paso TX; Fort Lewis Washington 2140 Liggett Ave JB Lewis-McChord WA; Fresno Marine Corps Reserve 6735 N Milburn Ave Fresno CA; McGregor Range McGregor Range Road Las Cruces NM; Naval Air Station Lemoore 700 Avenger Ave Lemoore CA; Visalia Marine Corps Reserve 4037 S Mooney Blvd Visalia CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1985 to 2007 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Jason Webb's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hodgkins Lymphoma, Lymphoma, Thyroid Disease, Thyroid Problems.
- 28. Plaintiff Tim Weick resides at 1476 St. Hwy. 818, Clarksville, AR 72830. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at NAS Miramar CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1983 to 1990 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Tim Weick's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma, Tumor.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 18 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 29. Plaintiff Robert West resides at 3539 Nashville Rd., North Port, FL 34288. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Cecil Field Naval Air Station 40 Cecil Field Naval Air Sta Jacksonville FL; China Lake Ridgecrest CA; China Lake Naval Station 1 Administrative Circle Ridgecrest CA; NAS Jacksonville 6801 Roosevelt Blvd Jacksonville FL; NAS Key West Naval Air Station Key West Key West FL; NAS Miramar Miramar Way San Diego CA; NAS Moffett Field 158 Cody Rd Mountain View CA; Naval Reserve Center 18650 NW 62nd Ave Hialeah FL; Norfolk Naval Station 1530 Gilbert St #2000 Norfolk VA; Orlando Naval Base 12350 Research Pkwy Orlando FL (hereinafter the "Site") from 1972 to 1981 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Robert West's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Blood Pressure, Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Cancer.
- 30. Plaintiff John Wester resides at 1417 Jefferson St, Hastings, MN 55033. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at 1 Ave of the Palms NS Treasure Island San Francisco CA; 8445 S John Young Pkwy Orlando NBC Orlando FL; Trepang Rd NAS Kitsap Poulsbo WA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1990 to 1990 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff John Wester's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Kidney Cancer, Stomach Cancer.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 19 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 31. Plaintiff Alisha White resides at 909 A West Sycamore, Carbondale, IL 62901. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Long Beach Naval Base 800 Seal Beach Blvd Long Beach Naval Base CA; Long Beach Naval Complex Long Beach CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1982 to 1986 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Alisha White's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with ADHD, Arthritis, Asthma, Birth Defect, Premature Birth.
- 32. Plaintiff Willie Whiting resides at 1228 North C Street, Oxnard, CA 93030. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Federal Govt Employee CA; Naval Base Ventura; Naval Base Ventura County 311 Main Road NAS Point Mugu CA; Norton Airforce Base CA; Norton Airforce Base 1601 E 3rd St San Bernardino CA; Thailand (hereinafter the "Site") from 1971 to 2010 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Willie Whiting's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypertension, Myeloma.
- 33. Plaintiff Antonio Wiggins resides at 911 Delano Ct., Kissimmee, FL 34758. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Naval Base San Diego 3455 Senn St San Diego CA; Norfolk Naval Station 1530 Gilbert St #2000 Norfolk VA; Orlando Naval Base 12350 Research Pkwy Orlando FL; Philadelphia Naval Shipyard 4701 Intrepid Ave Philadelphia PA (hereinafter the

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 20 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

"Site") from 1989 to 1998 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Antonio Wiggins's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Testicular Cancer.

- 34. Plaintiff Rebekah Wilcox resides at 72527 South 318 Rd., Wagoner, OK 74467. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Lackland Air Force Base 2292 JBSA-Lackland Lackland AFB TX; Wright- Patterson AFB 4185 Logistics Avenue. Area A. Building #286 Wright-Patterson AFB OH (hereinafter the "Site") from 1999 to 2000 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Rebekah Wilcox's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Disease.
- 35. Plaintiff Danny Williams resides at 14901 Goosefoot Road, Folley, AL 36535. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Amarillo AFB 1610-1688 Ave F Amarillo TX; Amarillo Air Force Base 1610-1688 Ave F Amarillo TX; Da Nang Air Base -- Da Nang --; McClellan AFB McClellan AFB CA; McClellan AFB -- McClellan AFB CA; Osan Air Base 442 Jeokbong-ri, Seotan-myeon, Pyeongtaek-si, Gyeonggi-do --; Plattsburgh AFB 426 US Oval Plattsburgh NY; Richard Gebaur AFB Kansas City MO; Richards-Gebaur AFB -- Kansas City MO; Shepard AFB 210 Missile Road Sheppard Air Force Base TX; Sheppard Air Force Base 1810 J Ave Sheppard

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 21 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

AFB TX; Whiteman AFB 101 Spirit Blvd Whiteman AFB MO (hereinafter the "Site") from 1968 to 1978 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Danny Williams's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Ulcerative Colitis.

- 36. Plaintiff Lonnie Williams resides at 242 County Rd. 29 A, Springs, MS 39422. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at 1530 Gilbert St 2000 Norfolk NAS Norfolk VA; 2316 Red Blank Rd NWSJB Charleston Goose Creek SC; 32nd Street Naval Base S 32nd St San Diego CA; Norfolk Naval Station 1530 Gilbert St #2000 Norfolk VA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1984 to 2014 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Lonnie Williams's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma.
- 37. Plaintiff Tashica Williams resides at 424 Limestone Ct, Euless, TX 76039. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Point Mugu Point Mugu CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1996 to 1998 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 22 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

and proximate result of Plaintiff Tashica Williams's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism.

- 38. Plaintiff Jerry W. Willis resides at 19600 Cedar Pine Dr., Fiddletown, CA 95629. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at BBND 1ZZ Diego Garcia AFB British Indian Ocean Territory BRI; Mulcahey Dr Port Hueneme CA; Roosevelt Roads NAS Roosevelt Roads Ceiba PR (hereinafter the "Site") from 1973 to 1976 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Jerry W. Willis's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism.
- Plaintiff Arthur Wilson Jr. resides at 555 County Route 58, Mexico, NY 13114. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Camp Geiger Camp Geiger NC; Camp Pendleton Building 20255T Oceanside CA; Cherry Point Cherry Point NC; Kaneohe Bay Kaneohe Bay HI; MCAS New River New River NC; Miramar Miramar CA; NAS Millington 7698 Hornet Ave Millington TN; Parris Island 283 Blvd De France Parris Island SC; Quantico 3019 Embry Loop Marine Corps Base Quantico VA; Stewart Air nattional guard base 1 Maguire Way Newburgh NY (hereinafter the "Site") from 1979 to 1984 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Arthur Wilson Jr.'s exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Thyroid Disease.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 23 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 40. Plaintiff David Wittman resides at 727 Decathlon St., San Antonio, TX 78245. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Charleston Charleston SC; Joint Base Charleston Naval Base 2316 Red Bank Rd Goose Creek SC; Mare Island Naval Shipyard -- Vallejo CA; Mare Island Shipyard Vallejo CA; NAS Pensacola 280 Taylor Rd Pensacola FL; Naval Station Argentina Placentia, NL A0B 1W0 -- --; Navy Submarine Base San Diego CA; Newfounland Canada CAN; Norfolk Norfolk VA; Norfolk Naval Station 1530 Gilbert St #2000 Norfolk VA; Pensacola NAS Pensacola FL; Sub Base Point Loma 140 Sylvester Rd San Diego CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1977 to 1993 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff David Wittman's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Thyroid Disease.
- 41. Plaintiff Sandra Wolfswinkel resides at 650 Jasmine Cir., Independence, OR 79351. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Diego Garcia; Diego Garcia Naval Base PSC 466 Box 2 FPO AP --; Lamoore CA; NAS Fallon NV; NAS Fallon 4755 Pasture Rd Fallon NV; NAS Lemoore 700 Avenger Ave Lemoore CA; Tinker AFB OK; Tinker AFB S Air Depot Blvd Oklahoma City OK (hereinafter the "Site") from 1984 to 1995 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Sandra

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 24 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

> Wolfswinkel's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Lymphoma, Miscarriage, Thyroid Disease.

- Plaintiff Stan Wolken resides at 598 Lariat Cir., Incline Village, NV 89451. 42. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Alameda Medical AFB; Travis AFB Traesure Island CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1971 to 1973 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Stan Wolken's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Bladder Problems, Hyperthyroidism, Thyroid Disease.
- 43. Plaintiff Bruce Woods resides at 1771 North 73rd Terrance Apt 7, Kansas City, KS 66112. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at ChauteAFB Rantoul IL; Dyess AFB 1517 Arnold Boulevard Dyess AFB TX; Joint Base Antonio Lackland CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1990 to 1991 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Bruce Woods's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Blood Pressure, Kidney Cancer.
- Plaintiff Jeff Woods resides at 824 Spanish Trail Lot 57, Woodway, TX 76712. 44. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Camp Pendleton 200 Mercy Cir Oceanside CA; Camp Pendleton Building 20255T Oceanside CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1974 to 1979 and was

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 25 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Jeff Woods's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Thyroid Disease.

- 45. Plaintiff Jonathan John Woodson resides at 5 spy glass court, Manzel, TX 77578. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at 32nd Street Naval Base S 32nd St San Diego CA; Beeville Naval Air Station Chase Rd Beeville TX; Beeville Naval Station Beeville TX; DCMS Washington DC -- -- DC; Marine Corps Base -- Okinawa --; NAS Corpus Christi 11001 D St #101 Corpus Christi TX; Naval Station Ingleside -- Ingleside TX; Naval Support Activity Bahrain 1 Juffair Avenue, Banz Warehouse, Bay 6 Manama --; Norfolk Norfolk VA; San Diego San Diego CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1988 to 2004 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Jonathan John Woodson's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Myeloma.
- 46. Plaintiff Harry M. Wright resides at 1750 Hutchinson Ferry Rd, Quincy, FL 32352. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at NAS Whidbey Island 2853 Langley Boulevard Oak Harbor WA; NTC San Diego San Diego; NTC San Diego San Diego CA; RTC NTC Orlando Orlando FL; RTC NTC San Diego San Diego CA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1973 to 1980 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 26 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Harry M. Wright's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Kidney Cancer, Prostate Cancer.

- 47. Plaintiff Brian Wroten resides at 8013 W 142nd Terrace, Overland Park, KS 66223. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Norfolk VA; NTC San Diego (hereinafter the "Site") from 1985 to 1988 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Brian Wroten's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma.
- 48. Plaintiff Troy Yoder resides at 3326 Spanish Oak, Sarasota, FL 34237. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at 1 Avenue of the Palms NAS Treasure Island San Francisco CA; Yorktown Ave NAS Jacksonville Jacksonville FL (hereinafter the "Site") from 1980 to 1985 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Troy Yoder's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Ulcerative Colitis.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 27 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

49. Plaintiff John Young resides at 4412 Hamilton Drive, Midland, MI 48642. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Naval Station San Diego, CA 3455 Senn St San Diego CA; Northfolk Navy Base, VA 1530 Gilbert St #2000 Norfolk VA (hereinafter the "Site") from 1980 to 1980 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff John Young's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Myeloma.

- 50. Plaintiff Peter T. Young resides at 107 Woolworth, Longmeadow, MA 01106. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at Naval Base San Diego San Diego CA; NTC Orlando Orlando FL (hereinafter the "Site") from 1975 to 1975 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Peter T. Young's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Pancreatic Cancer, Prostate Cancer.
- 51. Plaintiff Rodney Zimpelman resides at 6370 Holborne Lane, Douglasville, GA 30134. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at China Lake Naval Base 1 Administrative Circle Ridgecrest CA; Miramar San Diego CA; NAS Miramar Miramar Way San Diego CA; Naval Base Millington 7698 Hornet Ave Millington TN (hereinafter the "Site") from 1985 to 1988 and was living on base at the Site during that time. While living on base at the Site, Claimant was

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 28 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Site, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff Rodney Zimpelman's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Myeloma.

- 52. Personnel at the Site stored, handled, used, trained with, tested equipment with, otherwise discharged AFFF products in their facility, therefore contaminating groundwater supplies in the vicinity of the base.
- 53. Plaintiffs have been exposed to PFAS, have elevated levels of these contaminants in their blood, and are at an increased risk of health effects, changes in thyroid hormone, kidney cancer, and other autoimmune diseases.
- 54. Plaintiffs have a legitimate fear of developing additional injuries as a result of their exposure to PFAS, including but not limited to effects on the liver and immune system, high cholesterol levels, changes in thyroid hormone, kidney cancer and other autoimmune diseases.

#### B. Defendants

55. The term "Defendants" refers to all Defendants named herein jointly and severally.

#### i. The AFFF Defendants

56. The term "AFFF Defendants" refers collectively to Defendants 3M Company, Angus International Safety Group, Ltd., Amerex Corporation, Buckeye Fire Equipment Company, Carrier Global Corporation, Central Sprinkler, LLC, Chemguard Inc., Fire Products GP Holding, LLC, Johnson Controls International PLC, Kidde-Fenwal, Inc., National Foam, Inc., and Tyco Fire Products L.P.,

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 29 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

57. **Defendant The 3M Company f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co. ("3M")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota 55144-1000.

- 58. Beginning before 1970 and until at least 2002, 3M designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 59. **Defendant Amerex Corporation ("Amerex")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Alabama, with its principal place of business located at 7595 Gadsden Highway, Trussville, AL 35173.
- 60. Amerex is a manufacturer of firefighting products. Beginning in 1971, it was a manufacturer of hand portable and wheeled extinguishers for commercial and industrial applications.
- 61. In 2011, Amerex acquired Solberg Scandinavian AS, one of the largest manufacturers of AFFF products in Europe.
- 62. On information and belief, beginning in 2011, Amerex designed, manufactured, marketed distributed, and sold AFFF containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 63. **Defendant Tyco Fire Products LP ("Tyco")** is a limited partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at One Stanton Street, Marinette, Wisconsin 54143-2542.
- 64. Tyco is the successor in interest of The Ansul Company ("Ansul"), having acquired Ansul in 1990.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 30 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 65. Beginning in or around 1975, Ansul designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 66. After Tyco acquired Ansul in 1990, Tyco/Ansul continued to design, manufacture, market, distribute, and sell AFFF products containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 67. **Defendant Chemguard, Inc.** ("Chemguard") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business located at One Stanton Street, Marinette, Wisconsin 54143.
- 68. On information and belief, Chemguard designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF products containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 69. On information and belief, Chemguard was acquired by Tyco International Ltd. in 2011.
- 70. On information and belief, Tyco International Ltd. later merged into its subsidiary Tyco International plc in 2014 to change its jurisdiction of incorporation from Switzerland to Ireland.
- 71. **Defendant Buckeye Fire Equipment Company ("Buckeye")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Ohio, with its principal place of business located at 110 Kings Road, Kings Mountain, North Carolina 28086.
- 72. On information and belief, Buckeye designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF products containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 31 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 73. **Defendant National Foam, Inc. ("National Foam")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 141 Junny Road, Angier, North Carolina 27501.
- 74. Beginning in or around 1973, National Foam designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 75. On information and belief, National Foam currently manufactures the Angus brand of AFFF products and is a subsidiary of Angus International Safety Group.
- 76. On information and belief, National Foam merged with Chubb Fire Ltd. to form Chubb National Foam, Inc. in or around 1988.
- 77. On information and belief, Chubb is or has been composed of different subsidiaries and/or divisions, including but not limited to, Chubb Fire & Security Ltd., Chubb Security, PLC, Red Hawk Fire & Security, LLC, and/or Chubb National Foam, Inc. (collectively referred to as "Chubb").
  - 78. On information and belief, Chubb was acquired by Williams Holdings in 1997.
- 79. On information and belief, Angus Fire Armour Corporation had previously been acquired by Williams Holdings in 1994.
- 80. On information and belief, Williams Holdings was demerged into Chubb and Kidde P.L.C. in or around 2000.
- 81. On information and belief, when Williams Holdings was demerged, Kidde P.L.C. became the successor in interest to National Foam System, Inc. and Angus Fire Armour Corporation.
- 82. On information and belief, Kidde P.L.C. was acquired by United Technologies Corporation in or around 2005.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 32 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 83. On information and belief, Angus Fire Armour Corporation and National Foam separated from United Technologies Corporation in or around 2013.
- 84. **Defendant Kidde-Fenwal, Inc. ("Kidde-Fenwal")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at One Financial Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06101.
- 85. On information and belief, Kidde-Fenwal was an operating subsidiary of Kidde P.L.C. and manufactured AFFF following Kidde P.L.C.'s acquisition by United Technologies Corporation.
- 86. On information and belief, Kidde-Fenwal is the entity that divested the AFFF business unit now operated by National Foam in 2013.
- 87. **Defendant Carrier Global Corporation ("Carrier")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 13995 Pasteur Boulevard, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418.
- 88. On information and belief, Carrier was formed in March 2020 when United Technologies Corporation spun off its fire and security business before it merged with Raytheon Company in April 2020.
- 89. On information and belief, Kidde-Fenwal became a subsidiary of Carrier when United Technologies Corporation spun off its fire and security business in March 2020.
- 90. On information and belief, the AFFF Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors that were stored, handled, used, trained with, tested equipment with, otherwise discharged, and/or disposed at the Sites.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 33 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

#### ii. The Fluorosurfactant Defendants

- 91. The term "Fluorosurfactant Defendants" refers collectively to Defendants 3M,, Arkema Inc., BASF Corporation, ChemDesign Products Incorporated, Chemguard Inc., Deepwater Chemicals, Inc., E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, The Chemours Company, The Chemours Company FC, LLC, DuPont de Nemours Inc., and Dynax Corporation.
- 92. **Defendant Arkema Inc.** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business at 900 First Avenue, King of Prussia, PA 19406.
  - 93. Arkema Inc. develops specialty chemicals and polymers.
  - 94. Arkema, Inc. is an operating subsidiary of Arkema France, S.A.
- 95. On information and belief, Arkema Inc. designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.
- 96. **Defendant BASF Corporation ("BASF")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 100 Park Avenue, Florham Park, New Jersey 07932.
- 97. On information and belief, BASF is the successor-in-interest to Ciba. Inc. (f/k/a Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corporation).
- 98. On information and belief, Ciba Inc. designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.
- 99. **Defendant ChemDesign Products Inc.** ("ChemDesign") is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 2 Stanton Street, Marinette, WI, 54143.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 34 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 100. On information and belief, ChemDesign designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products
- 101. **Defendant Deepwater Chemicals, Inc.** ("Deepwater") is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 196122 E County Road 40, Woodward, OK, 73801.
- 102. On information and belief, Deepwater Chemicals designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products
- 103. **Defendant Dynax Corporation ("Dynax")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 103 Fairview Park Drive, Elmsford, New York 10523.
- 104. On information and belief, Dynax entered into the AFFF market on or about 1991 and quickly became a leading global producer of fluorosurfactants and fluorochemical stabilizers containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors.
- 105. On information and belief, Dynax designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants and fluorochemical stabilizers containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.
- 106. **Defendant E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Company ("DuPont")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 974 Centre Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19805.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 35 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

107. **Defendant The Chemours Company ("Chemours Co.")** is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1007 Market Street, P.O. Box 2047, Wilmington, Delaware, 19899.

108. In 2015, DuPont spun off its performance chemicals business to Chemours Co., along with vast environmental liabilities which Chemours Co. assumed, including those related to PFOS and PFOA and fluorosurfactants. On information and belief, Chemours Co. has supplied fluorosurfactants containing PFOS and PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors to manufacturers of AFFF products.

- 109. On information and belief, Chemours Co. was incorporated as a subsidiary of DuPont as of April 30, 2015. From that time until July 2015, Chemours Co. was a whollyowned subsidiary of DuPont.
- 110. In July 2015, DuPont spun off Chemours Co. and transferred to Chemours Co. its "performance chemicals" business line, which includes its fluoroproducts business, distributing shares of Chemours Co. stock to DuPont stockholders, and Chemours Co. has since been an independent, publicly-traded company.
- 111. **Defendant The Chemours Company FC, LLC ("Chemours FC")** is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19899.
- 112. **Defendant Corteva, Inc.** ("Corteva") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 974 Centre Rd., Wilmington, Delaware 19805.
- 113. **Defendant Dupont de Nemours Inc.** f/k/a **DowDuPont, Inc.** ("Dupont de Nemours Inc.") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 36 of 70

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

principal place of business at 974 Centre Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19805 and 2211 H.H. Dow Way, Midland, Michigan 48674.

- 114. On June 1, 2019, DowDuPont separated its agriculture business through the spin-off of Corteva.
- 115. Corteva was initially formed in February 2018. From that time until June 1, 2019, Corteva was a wholly-owned subsidiary of DowDuPont.
- 116. On June 1, 2019, DowDuPont distributed to DowDuPont stockholders all issued and outstanding shares of Corteva common stock by way of a pro-rata dividend. Following that distribution, Corteva became the direct parent of E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.
- 117. Corteva holds certain DowDuPont assets and liabilities, including DowDuPont's agriculture and nutritional businesses.
- 118. On June 1, 2019, DowDuPont, the surviving entity after the spin-off of Corteva and of another entity known as Dow, Inc., changed its name to DuPont de Nemours, Inc., to be known as DuPont ("New DuPont"). New DuPont retained assets in the specialty products business lines following the above-described spin-offs, as well as the balance of the financial assets and liabilities of E.I DuPont not assumed by Corteva.
- 119. Defendants E. I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company; The Chemours Company; The Chemours Company FC, LLC; Corteva, Inc.; and DuPont de Nemours, Inc. are collectively referred to as "DuPont" throughout this Complaint.
- 120. On information and belief, DuPont designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 37 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 121. On information and belief, 3M and Chemguard also designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.
- 122. On information and belief, the Fluorosurfactant Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products that were stored, handled, used, trained with, tested equipment with, otherwise discharged, and/or disposed at the Sites.

#### iii. The PFC Defendants

- 123. The term "PFC Defendants" refers collectively to 3M, AGC Chemicals Americas Inc., Archroma Management LLC, ChemDesign Products Inc., Chemicals, Inc., Clariant Corporation, Deepwater Chemicals, Inc., E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, The Chemours Company, The Chemours Company FC, LLC, Corteva, Inc., DuPont de Nemours Inc., and Nation Ford Chemical Company.
- 124. **Defendant AGC Chemicals Americas, Inc. ("AGC")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 55 East Uwchlan Avenue, Suite 201, Exton, PA 19341.
- 125. On information and belief, AGC Chemicals Americas, Inc. was formed in 2004 and is a subsidiary of AGC Inc., a foreign corporation organized under the laws of Japan, with its a principal place of business in Tokyo, Japan.
- 126. AGC manufactures specialty chemicals. It offers glass, electronic displays, and chemical products, including resins, water and oil repellants, greenhouse films, silica additives, and various fluorointermediates.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 38 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 127. On information and belief, AGC designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.
- 128. **Defendant Archroma U.S., Inc.** ("Archroma") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its a principal place of business at 5435 77 Center Drive, Charlotte, North Carolina 28217.
- 129. On information and belief, Archroma was formed in 2013 when Clariant Corporation divested its textile chemicals, paper specialties, and emulsions business to SK Capital Partners.
- 130. On information and belief, Archroma designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.
- 131. **Defendant Chemicals, Inc. ("Chemicals, Inc.")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas, with its principal place of business located at 12321 Hatcherville, Baytown, TX 77520.
- 132. On information and belief, Chemicals, Inc. supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.
- 133. **Defendant Clariant Corporation ("Clariant")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New York, with its principal place of business at 4000 Monroe Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28205.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 39 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

134. On information and belief, Clariant is the successor in interest to the specialty chemicals business of Sandoz Chemical Corporation ("Sandoz"). On information and belief, Sandoz spun off its specialty chemicals business to form Clariant in 1995.

135. On information and belief, Clariant supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.

136. **Defendant Nation Ford Chemical Co. ("Nation Ford")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of South Carolina, with its principal place of business located at 2300 Banks Street, Fort Mill, SC 29715.

137. On information and belief, Nation Ford supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.

138. On information and belief, 3M, ChemDesign, Deepwater Chemicals, and DuPont also supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.

139. On information and belief, the Fluorochemical Defendants supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products that were stored, handled, used, trained with, tested equipment with, otherwise discharged, and/or disposed at the Sites.

#### iv. Doe Defendants 1-20

140. Doe Defendants 1-20 are unidentified entities or persons whose names are presently unknown and whose actions, activities, omissions (a) may have permitted, caused and/or contributed to the contamination of Plaintiff's water sources or supply wells; or (b) may be vicariously responsible for entities or persons who permitted, caused and/or contributed to the

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 40 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

contamination of Plaintiff's water sources or supply wells; or (c) may be successors in interest to entities or persons who permitted, caused and/or permitted, contributed to the contamination of Plaintiff's water sources or supply wells. After reasonable search and investigation to ascertain the Doe Defendants actual names, the Doe Defendants' actual identities are unknown to Plaintiff as they are not linked with any of the Defendants on any public source.

- 141. The Doe Defendants 1-20 either in their own capacity or through a party they are liable for: (1) designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, and/or designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the fluorosurfactants and/or PFCs contained in AFFF/Component Products; or (2) used, handled, transported, stored, discharged, disposed of, designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, or other non-AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors; or (3) failed to timely perform necessary and reasonable response and remedial measures to releases of PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, or other non-AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors in to the environment in which Plaintiff's water supplies and well exist.
- 142. All Defendants, at all times material herein, acted by and through their respective agents, servants, officers and employees, actual or ostensible, who then and there were acting within the course and scope of their actual or apparent agency, authority or duties. Defendants are liable based on such activities, directly and vicariously.
- 143. Defendants represent all or substantially all of the market for AFFF/Component Products at the Sites.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS RELEVANT TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 41 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

#### A. PFOA and PFOS and Their Risk to Public Health

- 144. PFAS are chemical compounds containing fluorine and carbon. These substances have been used for decades in the manufacture of, among other things, household and commercial products that resist heat, stains, oil, and water. These substances are not naturally occurring and must be manufactured.
  - 145. The two most widely studied types of these substances are PFOA and PFOS.
- 146. PFOA and PFOS have unique properties that cause them to be: (i) mobile and persistent, meaning that they readily spread into the environment where they break down very slowly; (ii) bioaccumulative and biomagnifying, meaning that they tend to accumulate in organisms and up the food chain; and (iii) toxic, meaning that they pose serious health risks to humans and animals.
- 147. PFOA and PFOS easily dissolve in water, and thus they are mobile and easily spread in the environment. PFOA and PFOS also readily contaminate soils and leach from the soil into groundwater, where they can travel significant distances.
- 148. PFOA and PFOS are characterized by the presence of multiple carbon-fluorine bonds, which are exceptionally strong and stable. As a result, PFOA and PFOS are thermally, chemically, and biologically stable. They resist degradation due to light, water, and biological processes.
- 149. Bioaccumulation occurs when an organism absorbs a substance at a rate faster than the rate at which the substance is lost by metabolism and excretion. Biomagnification occurs when the concentration of a substance in the tissues of organisms increases as the substance travels up the food chain.
- 150. PFOA and PFOS bioaccumulate/biomagnify in numerous ways. First, they are relatively stable once ingested, so that they bioaccumulate in individual organisms for significant

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 42 of 70

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

periods of time. Because of this stability, any newly ingested PFOA and PFOS will be added to any PFOA and PFOS already present. In humans, PFOA and PFOS remain in the body for years.

- 151. PFOA and PFOS biomagnify up the food chain. This occurs, for example, when humans eat fish that have ingested PFOA and/or PFOS.
- 152. The chemical structure of PFOA and PFOS makes them resistant to breakdown or environmental degradation. As a result, they are persistent when released into the environment.
  - 153. Exposure to PFAS is toxic and poses serious health risks to humans and animals.
- 154. PFAS are readily absorbed after consumption or inhalation and accumulate primarily in the bloodstream, kidney, and liver.

#### B. Defendants' Manufacture and Sale of AFFF/Component Products

- 155. AFFF is a type of water-based foam that was first developed in the 1960s to extinguish hydrocarbon fuel-based fires.
- 156. AFFF is a Class-B firefighting foam. It is mixed with water and used to extinguish fires that are difficult to fight, particularly those that involve petroleum or other flammable liquids.
- 157. AFFF is synthetically formed by combining fluorine-free hydrocarbon foaming agents with fluorosurfactants. When mixed with water, the resulting solution produces an aqueous film that spreads across the surface of hydrocarbon fuel. This film provides fire extinguishment and is the source of the designation aqueous film-forming foam.
- 158. Beginning in the 1960s, the AFFF Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold AFFF products that used fluorosurfactants containing either PFOS, PFOA, or the chemical precursors that degrade into PFOS and PFOA.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 43 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 159. AFFF can be made without the fluorosurfactants that contain PFOA, PFOS, and/or their precursor chemicals. Fluorine-free firefighting foams, for instance, do not release PFOA, PFOS, and/or their precursor chemicals into the environment.
- 160. AFFF that contains fluorosurfactants, however, is better at extinguishing hydrocarbon fuel-based fires due to their surface-tension lowering properties, essentially smothering the fire and starving it of oxygen.
- 161. The fluorosurfactants used in 3M's AFFF products were manufactured by 3M's patented process of electrochemical fluorination ("ECF").
- 162. The fluorosurfactants used in other AFFF products sold by the AFFF Defendants were manufactured by the Fluorosurfactant Defendants through the process of telomerization.
- 163. The PFCs the Fluorosurfactant Defendants needed to manufacture those fluorosurfactants contained PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors and were designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed and/or sold by the PFC Defendants.
- 164. On information and belief, the PFC and Fluorosurfactant Defendants were aware that the PFCs and fluorosurfactants they designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold would be used in the AFFF products designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold by the AFFF Defendants.
- 165. On information and belief, the PFC and Fluorosurfactant Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the PFC and/or fluorosurfactants contained in the AFFF products discharged into the environment at the Site during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination.
- 166. On information and belief, the AFFF Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the AFFF products discharged into the environment at the Site

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 44 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination.

# C. Defendants' Knowledge of the Threats to Public Health and the Environment Posed by PFOS and PFOA

- 167. On information and belief, by at least the 1970s 3M and DuPont knew or should have known that PFOA and PFOS are mobile and persistent, bioaccumulative and biomagnifying, and toxic.
- 168. On information and belief, 3M and DuPont concealed from the public and government agencies its knowledge of the threats to public health and the environment posed by PFOA and PFOS.
- 169. Some or all of the Defendants understood how stable the fluorinated surfactants used in AFFF are when released into the environment from their first sale to a customer, yet they failed to warn their customers or provide reasonable instruction on how to manage wastes generated from their products.

## i. 1940s and 1950s: Early Warnings About the Persistence of AFFF

- 170. In 1947, 3M started its fluorochemical program, and within four years, it began selling its PFOA to DuPont. The persistence and contaminating nature of the fluorosurfactants contained in AFFF products were understood prior to their commercial application at 3M's Cottage Grove facility in Minnesota.
- 171. The inventor of 3M's ECF process was J.H. Simons. Simons' 1948 patent for the ECF process reported that PFCs are "non-corrosive, and of little chemical reactivity," and "do not react with any of the metals at ordinary temperatures and react only with the more chemically reactive metals such as sodium, at elevated temperatures."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Simons, J. H., Fluorination of Organic Compounds, U.S. Patent No. 2,447,717. August 24, 1948, available at

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 45 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

172. Simons further reported that fluorosurfactants produced by the ECF process do not react with other compounds or reagents due to the blanket of fluorine atoms surrounding the carbon skeleton of the molecule. 3M understood that the stability of the carbon-to-fluorine bonds prevented its fluorosurfactants from undergoing further chemical reactions or degrading under natural processes in the environment.<sup>2</sup>

173. The thermal stability of 3M's fluorosurfactants was also understood prior to commercial production. Simons' patent application further discloses that the fluorosurfactants produced by the ECF process were thermally stable at temperatures up to 750° C (1382° F). Additional research by 3M expanded the understanding of the thermal stability of perfluorocarbon compounds.<sup>3</sup>

174. Nowhere in any Material Safety Data Sheet for any of Defendants' AFFF/Component Products is information on the thermal stability of those products disclosed. Failure to disclose knowledge of the stability of the PFCs and fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products to customers is a failure to warn just how indestructible the AFFF's ingredients are when released to unprotected water sources and even treatment plants.

#### ii. 1960s: AFFF's Environmental Hazards Come into Focus

175. By at least the end of the 1960s, additional research and testing performed by 3M and DuPont indicated that fluorosurfactants, including at least PFOA, because of their unique chemical structure, were resistant to environmental degradation and would persist in the environment essentially unaltered if allowed to enter the environment.

https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1005.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Simons, J. H., 1950. Fluorocarbons and Their Production. Fluorine Chemistry, 1(12): 401-422, *available* at https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX3008.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bryce, T. J., 1950. Fluorocarbons - Their Properties and Wartime Development. Fluorine Chemistry, 1(13): 423-462.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 46 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

176. One 3M employee wrote in 1964: "This chemical stability also extends itself to all types of biological processes; there are no known biological organisms that are able to attack the carbon-fluorine bond in a fluorocarbon." Thus, 3M knew by the mid-1960s that its surfactants were immune to chemical and biological degradation in soils and groundwater.

177. 3M also knew by 1964 that when dissolved, fluorocarbon carboxylic acids and fluorocarbon sulfonic acids dissociated to form highly stable perfluorocarboxylate and perfluorosulfonate ions. Later studies by 3M on the adsorption and mobility of FC-95 and FC-143 (the ammonium salt of PFOA) in soils indicated very high solubility and very high mobility in soils for both compounds.<sup>5</sup>

iii. 1970s: Internal Studies Provide Evidence of Environmental and Health Risks

178. By 1950, 3M knew that the fluorosurfactants used in its AFFF product(s) would not degrade when released to the environment, but would remain intact and persist. Two decades later—and after the establishment of a robust market of AFFFs using fluorosurfactants—3M finally got around to looking at the environmental risks that fluorosurfactants posed.

179. An internal memo from 3M in 1971 states that "the thesis that there is 'no natural sink' for fluorocarbons obviously demands some attention." Hence, 3M understood at the very least that the fluorosurfactant used in its AFFF products would, in essence, never degrade once it was released into the environment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Bryce, H.G., Industrial and Utilitarian Aspects of Fluorine Chemistry (1964), *available* at https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX3022.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Technical Report Summary re: Adsorption of FC 95 and FC143 on Soil, Feb. 27, 1978, available at https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1158.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Memorandum from H.G. Bryce to R.M. Adams re: Ecological Aspects of Fluorocarbons, Sept. 13, 1971, available at <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1088.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1088.pdf</a>.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 47 of 70.
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

180. By the mid-1970s, 3M and Ansul (and possibly other Defendants) had an intimate understanding of the persistent nature of PFCs. A 1976 study, for example, observed no biodegradation of FC-95, the potassium salt of PFOS; a result 3M characterized as "unsurprising" in light of the fact that "[b]iodegradation of FC 95 is improbable because it is completely fluorinated."

181. In 1977, Ansul authored a report titled "Environmentally Improved AFFF," which acknowledged that releasing AFFF into the environment could pose potential negative impacts to groundwater quality.<sup>8</sup> Ansul wrote: "The purpose of this work is to explore the development of experimental AFFF formulations that would exhibit reduced impact on the environment while retaining certain fire suppression characteristic . . . improvements [to AFFF formulations] are desired in the environmental area, i.e., development of compositions that have a reduced impact on the environment without loss of fire suppression effectiveness." Thus, Ansul knew by the mid-1970s that the environmental impact of AFFF needed to be reduced, yet there is no evidence that Ansul (or any other Defendant) ever pursued initiatives to do so.

182. A 1978 3M biodegradation study likewise reported that an "extensive study strongly suggest[ed]" one of its PFCs is "likely to persist in the environment for extended period unaltered by metabolic attack." A year later, a 3M study reported that one of its fluorosurfactants "was found to be completely resistant to biological test conditions," and that it appeared waterways were the fluorosurfactant's "environmental sink." <sup>10</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Technical Report Summary, August 12, 1976 [3MA01252037].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ansul Co., Final Report: Environmentally Improved AFFF, N00173-76-C-0295, Marinette, WI, Dec. 13, 1977, *available at* https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a050508.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Technical Report Summary re: Fate of Fluorochemicals in the Environment, Biodegradation Studies of Fluorocarbons - II, Jan. 1, 1978, *available at* <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1153.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1153.pdf</a>.

Technical Report Summary re: Fate of Fluorochemicals in the Environment, Biodegradation Studies of Fluorocarbons - III, July 19, 1978, available at

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 48 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

183. In 1979, 3M also completed a comprehensive biodegradation and toxicity study covering investigations between 1975 and 1978.<sup>11</sup> More than a decade after 3M began selling AFFF containing fluorosurfactants it wrote: "there has been a general lack of knowledge relative to the environmental impact of these chemicals." The report ominously asked, "If these materials are not biodegradable, what is their fate in the environment?"

- 184. During the 1970s, 3M also learned that the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF accumulated in the human body and were "even more toxic" than previously believed.
- 185. In 1975, 3M learns that PFAS was present in the blood of the general population.<sup>12</sup> Since PFOA and PFOS are not naturally occurring, this finding should have alerted 3M to the possibility that their products were a source of this PFOS. The finding also should have alerted 3M to the possibility that PFOS might be mobile, persistent, bioaccumulative, and biomagnifying, as those characteristics could explain how PFOS from 3M's products ended up in human blood.
- 186. In 1976, 3M found PFAS in the blood of its workers at levels "up to 1000 times 'normal' amounts of organically bound fluorine in their blood."<sup>13</sup> This finding should have alerted 3M to the same issues raised by the prior year's findings.
- 187. Studies by 3M in 1978 showed that PFOA reduced the survival rate of fathead minnow fish eggs, <sup>14</sup> that PFOS was toxic to monkeys, <sup>15</sup> and that PFOS and PFOA were toxic to

https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1179.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Technical Report Summary, Final Comprehensive Report on FM 3422, Feb. 2, 1979, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX2563.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Memorandum from G.H. Crawford to L.C. Krogh et al. re: Fluorocarbons in Human Blood Plasma, Aug. 20, 1975, *available at* <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1118.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1118.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 3M Chronology – Fluorochemicals in Blood, Aug. 26, 1977, *available at* <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1144.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1144.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The Effects of Continuous Aqueous Exposure to 78.03 on Hatchability of Eggs and Growth and Survival of Fry of Fathead Minnow, June 1978, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1176.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Ninety-Day Subacute Rhesus Monkey Toxicity Study, Dec. 18, 1978, available at

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 49 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

rats.<sup>16</sup> In the study involving monkeys and PFOS, all of the monkeys died within days of ingesting food contaminated with PFOS.

188. In 1979, 3M and DuPont discussed 3M's discovery of PFOA in the blood of its workers and came to the same conclusion that there was "no reason" to notify the EPA of the finding.<sup>17</sup>

## iv. 1980s and 1990s: Evidence of AFFF's Health Risks Continues to Mount

- 189. By at least the end of the 1980s, additional research and testing performed by Defendants, including at least 3M and DuPont, indicated that elevated incidence of certain cancers and other adverse health effects, including elevated liver enzymes and birth defects, had been observed among workers exposed to such materials, including at least PFOA, but such data was not published, provided to governmental entities as required by law, or otherwise publicly disclosed at the time.
- 190. In 1981, DuPont tested for and found PFOA in the blood of female plant workers Parkersburg, West Virginia. DuPont observed and documented pregnancy outcomes in exposed workers, finding two of seven children born to female plant workers between 1979 and 1981 had birth defects—one an "unconfirmed" eye and tear duct defect, and one a nostril and eye defect. <sup>18</sup>
- 191. In 1983, 3M researchers concluded that concerns about PFAS "give rise to concern for environmental safety," including "legitimate questions about the persistence, accumulation

https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1191.pdf; Aborted FC95 Monkey Study, Jan. 2, 1979, available at https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1193.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Acute Oral Toxicity (LD<sub>50</sub>) Study in Rats (FC-143), May 5, 1978, *available at* <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1170.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1170.pdf</a>; FC-95, FC-143 and FM-3422 – 90 Day Subacute Toxicity Studies Conducted at IRDC – Review of Final Reports and Summary, Mar. 20, 1979, *available at* <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1199.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1199.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Memorandum from R.A. Prokop to J.D. Lazerte re: Disclosure of Information on Levels of Fluorochemicals in Blood, July 26, 1979, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX2723.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> C-8 Blood Sampling Results, available at http://tiny.cc/v8z1mz.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 50 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

potential, and ecotoxicity of fluorochemicals in the environment."<sup>19</sup> That same year, 3M completed a study finding that PFOS caused the growth of cancerous tumors in rats.<sup>20</sup> This finding was later shared with DuPont and led them to consider whether "they may be obliged under their policy to call FC-143 a carcinogen in animals."<sup>21</sup>

- 192. In 1984, 3M documented a trend of increasing levels of PFOS in the bodies of 3M workers, leading one of the company's medical officers to warn in an internal memo: "we must view this present trend with serious concern. It is certainly possible that . . . exposure opportunities are providing a potential uptake of fluorochemicals that exceeds excretion capabilities of the body."<sup>22</sup>
- 193. A 1997 material safety data sheet ("MSDS") for a non-AFFF product made by 3M listed its only ingredients as water, PFOA, and other perfluoroalkyl substances and warned that the product includes "a chemical which can cause cancer." The MSDS cited "1983 and 1993 studies conducted jointly by 3M and DuPont" as support for this statement. On information and belief, the MSDS for 3M's AFFF products did not provide similar warnings or information.
  - v. <u>Defendants Hid What They Knew from the Government and the Public.</u>
- 194. Federal law requires chemical manufacturers and distributors to immediately notify the EPA if they have information that "reasonably supports the conclusion that such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> 3M Environmental Laboratory (EE & PC), Fate of Fluorochemicals - Phase II, May 20, 1983, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1284.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Two Year Oral (Diet) Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study of Fluorochemical FC-143 in Rats, Volume 1 of 4, Aug. 29, 1987, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1337.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Memorandum from R.G. Perkins to F.D. Griffith re: Summary of the Review of the FC-143 Two-Year Feeder Study Report to be presented at the January 7, 1988 meeting with DuPont, January 5, 1988, *available at* <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1343.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1343.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Memorandum from D.E. Roach to P.F. Riehle re: Organic Fluorine Levels, Aug. 31, 1984, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1313.pdf.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 51 of 70.
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

substance or mixture presents a substantial risk of injury to health or the environment." Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA") § 8(e), 15 U.S.C. § 2607(e)

- 195. In April 2006, 3M agreed to pay EPA a penalty of more than \$1.5 million after being cited for 244 violations of the TSCA, which included violations for failing to disclose studies regarding PFOS, PFOA, and other PFCs dating back decades.
- 196. Likewise, in December 2005, the EPA announced it was imposing the "Largest Environmental Administrative Penalty in Agency History" against DuPont based on evidence that it violated the TSCA by concealing the environmental and health effects of PFOA.
- 197. On information and belief, Defendants knew or should have known that AFFF containing PFOA or PFOS would very likely injure and/or threaten public health and the environment, even when used as intended or directed.
- 198. Defendants failed to warn of these risks to the environment and public health, including the impact of their AFFF/Component Products on the quality of unprotected water sources.
- 199. Defendants were all sophisticated and knowledgeable in the art and science of designing, formulating, and manufacturing AFFF/Component Products. They understood far more about the properties of their AFFF/Component Products—including the potential hazards they posed to human health and the environment—than any of their customers. Still, Defendants declined to use their sophistication and knowledge to design safer products.

# D. The Impact of PFOS and PFOA on the Environment and Human Health Is Finally Revealed

200. As discussed above, neither 3M, DuPont, nor, on information and belief, any other Defendant complied with their obligations to notify EPA about the "substantial risk of

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 52 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

injury to health or the environment" posed by their AFFF/Component Products. See TSCA § 8(e).

- 201. Despite decades of research, 3M first shared its concerns with EPA in the late 1990s. In a May 1998 report submitted to EPA, "3M chose to report simply that PFOS had been found in the blood of animals, which is true but omits the most significant information," according to a former 3M employee.<sup>23</sup>
- 202. On information and belief, 3M began in 2000 to phase out its production of products that contained PFOS and PFOA in response to pressure from the EPA.
- 203. Once the truth about PFOS and PFOA was revealed, researchers began to study the environmental and health effects associated with them, including a "C8 Science Panel" formed out of a class action settlement arising from contamination from DuPont's Washington Works located in Wood County, West Virginia.
- 204. The C8 panel consisted of three epidemiologists specifically tasked with determining whether there was a probable link between PFOA exposure and human diseases. In 2012, the panel found probable links between PFOA and kidney cancer, testicular cancer, ulcerative colitis, thyroid disease, pregnancy-induced hypertension (including preeclampsia), and hypercholesterolemia.
- 205. Human health effects associated with PFOS exposure include immune system effects, changes in liver enzymes and thyroid hormones, low birth weight, high uric acid, and high cholesterol. In laboratory testing on animals, PFOA and PFOS have caused the growth of tumors, changed hormone levels, and affected the function of the liver, thyroid, pancreas, and immune system.

Letter from R. Purdy, Mar. 28, 1999, available at <a href="https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1001.pdf">https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1001.pdf</a>.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 53 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

> 206. The injuries caused by PFAS can arise months or years after exposure.

207. Even after the C8 Science Panel publicly announced that human exposure to 50 parts per trillion, or more, of PFOA in drinking water for one year or longer had "probable links" with certain human diseases, including kidney cancer, testicular cancer, ulcerative colitis, thyroid disease, preeclampsia, and medically-diagnosed high cholesterol, Defendants repeatedly assured and represented to governmental entities, their customers, and the public (and continue to do so) that the presence of PFOA in human blood at the levels found within the United States presents no risk of harm and is of no legal, toxicological, or medical significance of any kind.

208. Furthermore, Defendants have represented to and assured such governmental entities, their customers, and the public (and continue to do so) that the work of the independent C8 Science Panel was inadequate to satisfy the standards of Defendants to prove such adverse effects upon and/or any risk to humans with respect to PFOA in human blood.

209. At all relevant times, Defendants, through their acts and/or omissions, controlled, minimized, trivialized, manipulated, and/or otherwise influenced the information that was published in peer-review journals, released by any governmental entity, and/or otherwise made available to the public relating to PFAS in human blood and any alleged adverse impacts and/or risks associated therewith, effectively preventing the public from discovering the existence and extent of any injuries/harm as alleged herein.

210. On May 2, 2012, the EPA published its Third Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule ("UCMR3"), requiring public water systems nationwide to monitor for thirty contaminants of concern between 2013 and 2015, including PFOS and PFOA.<sup>24</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Revisions to the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Regulation (UCMR 3) for Public Water Systems, 77 Fed. Reg: 26072 (May 2, 2012).

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 54 of 70
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

211. In the May 2015 "Madrid Statement on Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS's)," scientists and other professionals from a variety of disciplines, concerned about the production and release into the environment of PFOA, called for greater regulation, restrictions, limits on the manufacture and handling of any PFOA containing product, and to develop safe non-fluorinated alternatives to these products to avoid long-term harm to human health and the environment.<sup>25</sup>

212. On May 25, 2016, the EPA released a lifetime health advisory (HAs) and health effects support documents for PFOS and PFOA. <sup>26</sup> See Fed. Register, Vol. 81, No. 101, May 25, 2016. The EPA developed the HAs to assist governmental officials in protecting public health when PFOS and PFOA are present in drinking water. The EPA HAs identified the concentration of PFOS and PFOA in drinking water at or below which adverse health effects are not anticipated to occur over a lifetime of exposure at 0.07 ppb or 70 ppt. The HAs were based on peer-reviewed studies of the effects of PFOS and PFOA on laboratory animals (rats and mice) and were also informed by epidemiological studies of human populations exposed to PFOS. These studies indicate that exposure to PFOS and PFOA over these levels may result in adverse health effects, including:

- a. Developmental effects to fetuses during pregnancy or to breastfed infants (e.g., low birth weight, accelerated puberty, skeletal variations);
- b. Cancer (testicular and kidney);
- c. Liver effects (tissue damage);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Blum A, Balan SA, Scheringer M, Trier X, Goldenman G, Cousins IT, Diamond M, Fletcher T, Higgins C, Lindeman AE, Peaslee G, de Voogt P, Wang Z, Weber R. 2015. The Madrid statement on poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs). Environ Health Perspect 123:A107–A111; http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1509934.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Fed. Register, Vol. 81, No. 101, May 25, 2016, Lifetime Health Advisories and Health Effects Support Documents for Perfluorooctanoic Acid and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 55 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- d. Immune effects (e.g., antibody production and immunity);
- e. Thyroid disease and other effects (e.g., cholesterol changes).
- 213. In addition, PFOS and PFOA are hazardous materials because they pose a "present or potential threat to human health."<sup>27</sup>
- 214. In 2016, the National Toxicology Program of the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("NTP") and the International Agency for Research on Cancer ("IARC") both released extensive analyses of the expanding body of research regarding the adverse effects of PFCs. The NTP concluded that both PFOA and PFOS are "presumed to be an immune hazard to humans" based on a "consistent pattern of findings" of adverse immune effects in human (epidemiology) studies and "high confidence" that PFOA and PFOS exposure was associated with suppression of immune responses in animal (toxicology) studies.<sup>28</sup>
- 215. IARC similarly concluded that there is "evidence" of "the carcinogenicity of . . . PFOA" in humans and in experimental animals, meaning that "[a] positive association has been observed between exposure to the agent and cancer for which a causal interpretation is . . . credible."<sup>29</sup>
- 216. California has listed PFOA and PFOS to its Proposition 65 list as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986.<sup>30</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> *Id.*; see also National Ass'n for Surface Finishing v. EPA, 795 F.3d 1, 3, 6 (D.C. Cir. 2015) (referring to PFOS as a "toxic compound" and a "hazardous chemical.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services, Nat'l Toxicology Program, NTP Monograph: Immunotoxicity Associated with Exposure to Perfluorooctanoic Acid or Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (Sept. 2016), at 1, 17, 19, available at https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/ohat/pfoa pfos/pfoa pfosmonograph 508.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See Int'l Agency for Research on Cancer, IARC Monographs: Some Chemicals Used as Solvents and in Polymer Manufacture (Dec. 2016), at 27, 97, available at http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol110/mono110.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Chemicals Listed Effective Nov. 10, 2017 as

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 56 of 70

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 217. The United States Senate and House of Representatives passed the National Defense Authorization Act in November 2017, which included \$42 Million to remediate PFC contamination from military bases, as well as devoting \$7 Million toward the Investing in Testing Act, which authorizes the Center for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") to conduct a study into the long-term health effects of PFOA and PFOS exposure.<sup>31</sup> The legislation also required that the Department of Defense submit a report on the status of developing a new military specification for AFFF that did not contain PFOS or PFOA.<sup>32</sup>
- 218. In June 2018, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry ("ATSDR") and EPA released a draft toxicological profile for PFOS and PFOA and recommended the drinking water advisory levels be lowered to 11 ppt for PFOA and 7 ppt for PFOS.<sup>33</sup>
- 219. On February 20, 2020, the EPA announced a proposed decision to regulate PFOA and PFOS under the Safe Drinking Water Act, which the agency characterized as a "key milestone" in its efforts to "help communities address per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) nationwide."<sup>34</sup> Following a public comment period on its proposed decision, the EPA will decide whether to move forward with the process of establishing a national primary drinking water regulation for PFOA and PFOS.

Known to the State of California to Cause Reproductive Toxicity: Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS), Nov. 9, 2017, available at <a href="https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-65/crnr/chemicals-listed-effective-november-10-2017-known-state-california-cause">https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-65/crnr/chemicals-listed-effective-november-10-2017-known-state-california-cause</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018, H.R. 2810, 115th Congress (2017), *available at* <a href="https://www.congress.gov/115/plaws/publ91/PLAW-115publ91.pdf">https://www.congress.gov/115/plaws/publ91/PLAW-115publ91.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> *Id.*; see also U.S. Department of Defense, *Alternatives to Aqueous Film Forming Foam Report to Congress*, June 2018, available at <a href="https://www.denix.osd.mil/derp/home/documents/alternatives-to-aqueous-film-forming-foam-report-to-congress/">https://www.denix.osd.mil/derp/home/documents/alternatives-to-aqueous-film-forming-foam-report-to-congress/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> ATSDR, *Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls: Draft for Public Comment* (June 2018), available at <a href="https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp200.pdf">https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp200.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Press Release, *EPA Announces Proposed Decision to Regulate PFOA and PFOS in Drinking Water*, Feb. 20, 2020, *available at* <a href="https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-announces-proposed-decision-regulate-pfoa-and-pfos-drinking-water">https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-announces-proposed-decision-regulate-pfoa-and-pfos-drinking-water</a>.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 57 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

E. AFFF Containing PFOS and PFOA Is Fungible and Commingled in the Groundwater

220. AFFF containing PFOS and/or PFOA, once it has been released to the environment, lacks characteristics that would enable identification of the company that manufactured that particular batch of AFFF or chemical feedstock.

221. A subsurface plume, even if it comes from a single location, such as a retention pond or fire training area, originates from mixed batches of AFFF and chemical feedstock coming from different manufacturers.

222. Because precise identification of the specific manufacturer of any given AFFF/Component Product that was a source of the PFAS found at Reese Air Force Base, during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination is nearly impossible, given certain exceptions, Plaintiffs must pursue all Defendants, jointly and severally.

223. Defendants are also jointly and severally liable because they conspired to conceal the true toxic nature of PFOS and PFOA, to profit from the use of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS and PFOA, at Plaintiffs' expense, and to attempt to avoid liability.

# MARKET SHARE LIABILITY, ALTERNATIVE LIABILITY, CONCERT OF ACTION, AND ENTERPRISE LIABILITY

224. Defendants in this action are manufacturers that control a substantial share of the market for AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors in the United States and are jointly responsible for the contamination of the groundwater at the Site, affecting groundwater sources within the vicinity of the base. Market share liability attaches to all Defendants and the liability of each should be assigned according to its percentage of the market for AFFF/Component Products at issue in this Complaint.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 58 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 225. Because PFAS is fungible, it is impossible to identify the exact Defendant who manufactured any given AFFF/Component Product containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors found free in the air, soil or groundwater, and each of these Defendants participated in a territory-wide and U.S. national market for AFFF/Component Products during the relevant time.
- 226. Concert of action liability attaches to all Defendants, each of which participated in a common plan to commit the torts alleged herein and each of which acted tortuously in pursuance of the common plan to knowingly manufacture and sell inherently dangerous AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors.
- 227. Enterprise liability attaches to all the named Defendants for casting defective products into the stream of commerce.

### **CONSPIRACY**

- 228. Defendants actually knew of the health and environmental hazards which PFOA and PFOS posed to Plaintiffs.
- 229. Beginning in the 1970s and continuing through the date of this Complaint, Defendants formed joint task forces, committees and otherwise colluded for the avowed purpose of providing information about AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and/or PFOS to the public and to government agencies with the unlawful purpose of:
  - a. Creating a market for AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and/or PFOS despite knowledge of the hazards which PFOA and PFOS posed to the groundwater in Colorado and the residents who depend on such water;
  - b. Concealing the environmental properties and toxic nature of PFOA and PFOS,
     and its impact on Plaintiffs and the environment; and

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 59 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- c. Maximizing profits in a way Defendants knew or should have known would result in the contamination of Plaintiffs' drinking water.
- 230. Defendants carried out their conspiracy by one or more of the following overt acts or omissions:
  - a. Intentionally representing to the DOD, USAF, USEPA and the public that AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and PFOS were safe and did not pose an environmental or human health risk;
  - b. Concealing the dangers of PFOA and PFOS (including toxicological information on the dangers of the chemicals to living organisms, adverse fate and transport characteristics, and the propensity of PFOA and PFOS to contaminate groundwater) from the government and the public by, among other means, repeatedly requesting that information about the dangers and health effects of PFOA and PFOS be suppressed and not otherwise published, and by downplaying any adverse findings relating to PFOA and PFOS;
  - c. Concealing the dangers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and PFOS from end users, sensitive receptors, public water suppliers, and the users and consumers of groundwater;
  - d. Using their considerable resources to fight PFOA and PFOS regulation; and
  - e. Collectively deciding to use PFOA and/or PFOS rather than other, safer surfactants because AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and/or PFOS were the most profitable surfactant for Defendants to use.
- 231. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' above-described conspiracy, PFOA and PFOS, at all times relevant to this litigation has:

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 60 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- a. Posed and continues to pose a health threat to Plaintiffs because it has bioaccumulated in their bodies;
- b. Contaminated Plaintiffs' property, soil, and groundwater, for those with private water wells;
- c. Created the need for remediation of PFOA- and PFOS- contaminated groundwater for those property owners who utilize private water wells, or, where remediation of the groundwater is impractical, installation of a system to filter out PFOA and PFOS or procurement of water from alternative sources;

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

## COUNT 1: DEFECTIVE DESIGN

- 232. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- 233. As manufacturers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, Defendants owed a duty to all persons whom its products might foreseeably harm, including Plaintiffs, and not to market any product which is unreasonably dangerous in design for its reasonably anticipated used.
- 234. Defendants' AFFF/Component Products were unreasonably dangerous for its reasonably anticipated uses for the following reasons:
  - a. PFAS causes extensive groundwater contamination, even when used in its foreseeable and intended manner;
  - b. Even at extremely low levels, PFAS render drinking water unfit for consumption;
  - c. PFAS poses significant threats to public health; and

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 61 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- d. PFAS create real and potential environmental damage.
- 235. Defendants knew of these risks and failed to use reasonable care in the design of their AFFF/Component Products.
- 236. AFFF containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors poses a greater danger to the environment and to human health than would be expected by ordinary persons such as Plaintiffs.
- 237. At all times, Defendants were capable of making AFFF/Component Products that did not contain PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors. Thus, reasonable alternative designs existed which were capable of preventing Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 238. The risks posed by AFFF containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors far outweigh the products' utility as a flame-control product.
- 239. The likelihood that Defendants' AFFF/Component Products would be spilled, discharged, disposed of, or released into the environment and Plaintiffs' water well has been, and continues to be, contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages that far outweighed any burden on Defendants to adopt an alternative design, and outweighed the adverse effect, if any, of such alternative design on the utility of the product.
- 240. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unreasonably dangerous design, manufacture, and sale of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, Plaintiffs' water supply has been, and continues to be, contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages.
- 241. Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions described above would contaminate Plaintiffs' water supply with PFAS in varying amounts over

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 62 of 70

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Contamination that led to the exposure of Plaintiffs' to toxins and increased their risk of numerous diseases. Defendants committed each of the above-described acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with conscious and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' health and safety, and/or property rights.

## COUNT 2: FAILURE TO WARN

- 242. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- 243. As manufacturers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, Defendants had a duty to provide adequate warnings of the risks of these products to all persons whom its product might foreseeably harm, including Plaintiffs.
- 244. Defendants' AFFF/Component Products were unreasonably dangerous for its reasonably anticipated uses for the following reasons:
  - a. PFAS causes extensive groundwater contamination, even when used in its foreseeable and intended manner;
  - b. Even at extremely low levels, PFAS render drinking water unfit for consumption;
  - c. PFAS poses significant threats to public health; and
  - d. PFAS create real and potential environmental damage.
- 245. Defendants knew of the health and environmental risks associated with their AFFF/Component Products and failed to provide a warning that would lead an ordinary reasonable user or handler of a product to contemplate the dangers associated with their products or an instruction that would have avoided Plaintiffs' injuries.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 63 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

246. Despite Defendants' knowledge of the environmental and human health hazards associated with the use and/or disposal of their AFFF/Component Products in the vicinity of drinking water supplies, including PFAS contamination of the drinking supplies, Defendants failed to issue any warnings, instructions, recalls, or advice regarding their AFFF/Component Products to Plaintiff, governmental agencies or the public.

247. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure to warn, Plaintiffs' water supply has been, and continues to be, contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Further, this contamination led to the exposure of Plaintiffs to toxins and increased their probabilities of numerous diseases as more fully set forth above.

248. Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions described above would contaminate Plaintiffs water supply with PFAS in varying amount, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Defendants committed each of the above-described acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with conscious and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' health and safety, and/or property rights.

#### <u>COUNT 3:</u> NEGLIGENCE

- 249. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- 250. As manufacturers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs and to all persons whom its products might foreseeably harm and to exercise due care in the formulation, manufacture, sale, labeling, warning, and use of PFAS-containing AFFF.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 64 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 251. Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to act reasonably and not place inherently dangerous AFFF/Component Products into the marketplace when its release into the air, soil, and water was imminent and certain.
- 252. Defendants knew or should have known that PFAS were leaching from AFFF used for fire protection, training, and response activities.
- 253. Defendants knew or should have known that PFAS are highly soluble in water, highly mobile, extremely persistent in the environment, and high likely to contaminate water supplies if released into the environment.
- 254. Defendants knew or should have known that the manner in which they were designing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing, and selling their AFFF/Component Products would result in contamination of Plaintiffs' water supply with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages.
- 255. Despite the fact that Defendants knew or should have known that PFAS are toxic, can contaminate water resources and are carcinogenic, Defendants negligently:
  - a. designed, manufactured, formulated, handled, labeled, instructed, controlled, marketed, promoted, and/or sold AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors;
  - issued deficient instructions on how their AFFF/Component Products should be used and disposed of, thereby permitting PFAS to contaminate the groundwater in and around the Site;
  - c. failed to recall and/or warn the users of their AFFF/Component Products of the
    dangers of groundwater contamination as a result of standard use and disposal of
    their products;

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 65 of 70

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- d. failed and refused to issue the appropriate warning and/or recalls to the users of their AFFF/Component Products; and
- e. failing to take reasonable, adequate, and sufficient steps or actions to eliminate, correct, or remedy any contamination after it occurred.
- 256. The magnitude of the burden on the Defendants to guard against this foreseeable harm to Plaintiffs was minimal, as the practical consequences of placing this burden on the Defendants amounted to a burden to provide adequate instructions, proper labeling, and sufficient warnings about their AFFF/Component Products.
- 257. As manufacturers, Defendants were in the best position to provide adequate instructions, proper labeling, and sufficient warnings about their AFFF/Component Products, and to take steps to eliminate, correct, or remedy any contamination they caused.
- 258. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs' water supply has been contaminated with PFAS, in varying amounts of time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages.
- 259. Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions described above would cause Plaintiffs' water supply to be contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Defendants committed each of the above-described acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with conscious and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' health and safety, and/or property rights.

## **COUNT 4:** TRESPASS

260. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 66 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

261. Plaintiffs are the owners, operators, and actual possessors of real property as defined herein.

262. Defendants designed, manufactured, distributed, marketed, and sold AFFF/Component Products with the actual knowledge and/or substantial certainty that AFFF containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors would, through normal use, release PFAS that would migrate into groundwater, causing contamination.

263. Defendants negligently, recklessly, and/or intentionally designed, manufactured, distributed, marketed, and sold AFFF/Component Products in a manner that caused PFAS to contaminate Plaintiffs' property.

264. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' trespass, Plaintiffs have suffered and continues to suffer property damage requiring investigation, remediation, and monitoring costs.

265. Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions described above would threaten public health and cause extensive contamination of property, including groundwater collected for drinking. Defendants committed each of the above-described acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with conscious and/or reckless disregard for the health and safety of others, and for Plaintiffs' property rights.

## **COUNT 5:**ACTUAL FRAUDULENT TRANSFER (DuPont and Chemours Co.)

266. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:

267. Through their effectuation of the Spinoff, Chemours Co. and DuPont (the "Fraudulent Transfer Defendants") caused Chemours Co. to transfer valuable assets to DuPont,

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 67 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

including but not limited to the \$3.9 billion dividend (the "Transfers"), while simultaneously assuming significant liabilities (the "Assumed Liabilities").

- 268. The Transfers and Assumed Liabilities were made for the benefit of DuPont.
- 269. At the time that the Transfers were made and the Liabilities were assumed, and until the Spinoff was complete, DuPont was in a position to, and in fact did, control and dominate Chemours Co.
- 270. The Fraudulent Transfer Defendants made the Transfers and incurred the Assumed Liabilities with the actual intent to hinder, delay, and defraud the creditors or future creditors of Chemours Co.
- 271. Plaintiffs have been harmed as a result of the conduct of the Fraudulent Transfer Defendants.
- 272. Plaintiffs are entitled to avoid the Transfers and to recover property or value transferred to DuPont.

## COUNT 6: CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER (DuPont and Chemours Co.)

- 273. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- 274. Chemours Co. did not receive reasonably equivalent value from DuPont in exchange for the Transfers and Assumed Liabilities.
- 275. Each of the Transfers and the assumption of the Assumed Liabilities by Chemours Co. was made to or for the benefit of DuPont.
- 276. At the time that the Transfers were made, and the Assumed Liabilities were assumed, and until the Spinoff was complete, DuPont was in a position to, and in fact did, control and dominate Chemours Co.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 68 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- 277. The Fraudulent Transfer Defendants made the Transfers and assumed the Assumed Liabilities when Chemours Co. was engaged or about to be engaged in a business for which its remaining assets were unreasonably small in relation to its business.
- 278. Chemours Co. was insolvent or in contemplation of insolvency at the time of the Transfers or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers and its assumption of the Assumed Liabilities.
- 279. At the time that the Transfers were made and Chemours Co. assumed the Assumed Liabilities, the Fraudulent Transfer Defendants intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed, that Chemours Co. would incur debts beyond its ability to pay as they became due.
  - 280. Plaintiffs have been harmed as a result of the Transfers.
- 281. Plaintiffs are entitled to avoid the Transfers and to recover property or value transferred to DuPont.

## **COUNT 6: PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

- 282. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- 283. Defendants engaged in willful, wanton, malicious, and/or reckless conduct that caused the foregoing damage upon Plaintiff, disregarding their protected rights.
- 284. Defendants' willful, wanton, malicious, and/or reckless conduct includes but is not limited to Defendants' failure to take all reasonable measures to ensure PFAS would not be released into the environment and inevitably to Plaintiffs' water supply which was contaminated and continues to be contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injury and damage.

285. Defendants have caused great harm to Plaintiff, acting with implied malice and an outrageously conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights and safety, such that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, and request the following relief from the Court:

- a. a declaration that Defendants acted with negligence, gross negligence, and/or willful,
   wanton, and careless disregard for the health, safety of Plaintiffs;
- an award to Plaintiffs of general, compensatory, exemplary, consequential, nominal, and punitive damages;
- c. an order for an award of attorney fees and costs, as provided by law;
- d. pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
- e. compensatory damages according to proof including, but not limited to:
  - i. costs and expenses related to the past, present, and future investigation, sampling, testing, and assessment of the extent of PFAS contamination at Plaintiffs' water source;
  - ii. costs and expenses related to past, present, and future treatment and remediation of PFAS contamination at Plaintiffs' water source; and
  - iii. costs and expenses related to past, present, and future installation and maintenance of filtration systems to assess and evaluate PFAS at Plaintiffs' water source;
- f. an order barring the transfer of DuPont's liabilities for the claims brought in this Complaint;
- g. an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter Defendants' similar wrongful conduct in the future;
- h. an award of consequential damages;

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 Case 1:21-cv-10427-JPO Document 1-1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 70 of 70 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/26/2021

- i. an order for an award of attorney fees and costs, as provided by law;
- j. an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law; and
- k. an order for all such other relief the Court deems just and proper.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable as a matter of right.

DATED this 26th day of October 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

### NAPOLI SHKOLNIK

By: /s/ Patrick J. Lanciotti
Patrick J. Lanciotti
Andrew W. Croner
360 Lexington Avenue, 11th Fl.
New York, New York 10017
(212) 397-1000
planciotti@napolilaw.com
acroner@napolilaw.com

Paul J. Napoli 270 Munoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 201, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918 (833) 271-4502 pnapoli@nsprlaw.com